Second Regular Session Seventy-fourth General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

INTRODUCED

LLS NO. 24-0922.03 Josh Schultz x5486

SENATE BILL 24-205

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Rodriguez,

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

(None),

Senate Committees

House Committees

Judiciary

101

102

A BILL FOR AN ACT

CONCERNING CONSUMER PROTECTIONS IN INTERACTIONS WITH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://leg.colorado.gov.)

The bill requires a developer of a high-risk artificial intelligence system (high-risk system) to use reasonable care to avoid algorithmic discrimination in the high-risk system. There is a rebuttable presumption that a developer used reasonable care if the developer complied with specified provisions in the bill, including:

• Making available to a deployer of the high-risk system a

- statement disclosing specified information about the high-risk system;
- Making available to a deployer of the high-risk system information and documentation necessary to complete an impact assessment of the high-risk system;
- Making a publicly available statement summarizing the types of high-risk systems that the developer has developed or intentionally and substantially modified and currently makes available to a deployer and how the developer manages any known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination that may arise from the development or intentional and substantial modification of each of these high-risk systems; and
- Disclosing to the attorney general and known deployers of the high-risk system any known or reasonably foreseeable risk of algorithmic discrimination, within 90 days after the discovery or receipt of a credible report from the deployer, that the high-risk system has caused or is reasonably likely to have caused.

The bill also requires a deployer of a high-risk system to use reasonable care to avoid algorithmic discrimination in the high-risk system. There is a rebuttable presumption that a deployer used reasonable care if the deployer complied with specified provisions in the bill, including:

- Implementing a risk management policy and program for the high-risk system;
- Completing an impact assessment of the high-risk system;
- Notifying a consumer of specified items if the high-risk system makes a consequential decision concerning a consumer;
- Making a publicly available statement summarizing the types of high-risk systems that the deployer currently deploys and how the deployer manages any known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination that may arise from deployment of each of these high-risk systems; and
- Disclosing to the attorney general the discovery of algorithmic discrimination, within 90 days after the discovery, that the high-risk system has caused or is reasonably likely to have caused.

A developer of a general purpose artificial intelligence model (general purpose model) is required to create and maintain specified documentation for the general purpose model, including:

A policy to comply with federal and state copyright laws;
 and

-2- SB24-205

• A detailed summary concerning the content used to train the general purpose model.

A developer of a general purpose model must create, implement, maintain, and make available to deployers who intend to integrate the general purpose model into the deployers' artificial intelligence systems documentation and information that:

- Enables the deployers to understand the capabilities and limitations of the general purpose model;
- Discloses the technical requirements for the general purpose model to be integrated into the deployers' artificial intelligence systems;
- Discloses the design specifications of, and training processes for, the general purpose model, including the training methodologies and techniques for the general purpose model;
- Discloses the key design choices for the general purpose model, including the rationale and assumptions made;
- Discloses what the general purpose model is designed to optimize for and the relevance of the different parameters, as applicable; and
- Provides a description of the data that was used for purposes of training, testing, and validation, as applicable.

If an artificial intelligence system, including a general purpose model, generates or manipulates synthetic digital content, the bill requires the developer to:

- Ensure that the outputs of the artificial intelligence system are marked in a machine-readable format and detectable as synthetic digital content; and
- Ensure that the developer's technical solutions are effective, interoperable, robust, and reliable.

If an artificial intelligence system, including a general purpose model, generates or manipulates synthetic digital content, the bill requires the deployer of the artificial intelligence system to disclose to a consumer that the synthetic digital content has been artificially generated or manipulated.

The attorney general and district attorneys have exclusive authority to enforce the bill. During the period from July 1, 2025, through June 30, 2026, the attorney general or a district attorney, prior to initiating any action for a violation of the provisions of the bill, shall issue a notice of violation to the alleged violator and, if the attorney general or district attorney determines that a cure is possible, provide the alleged violator 60 days to cure the violation before bringing an enforcement action.

The bill provides an affirmative defense for a developer or deployer if:

• The developer or deployer of the high-risk system or

-3- SB24-205

generative system involved in a potential violation has implemented and maintained a program that is in compliance with a nationally or internationally recognized risk management framework for artificial intelligence systems that the bill or the attorney general designates; and The developer or deployer takes specified measures to discover and correct violations of the bill.

1	Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:
2	SECTION 1. In Colorado Revised Statutes, add part 16 to article
3	1 of title 6 as follows:
4	PART 16
5	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
6	6-1-1601. Definitions. As used in this part 16:
7	(1) (a) "ALGORITHMIC DISCRIMINATION" MEANS ANY CONDITION
8	IN WHICH AN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM MATERIALLY INCREASES
9	THE RISK OF AN UNLAWFUL DIFFERENTIAL TREATMENT OR IMPACT THAT
10	DISFAVORS AN INDIVIDUAL OR GROUP OF INDIVIDUALS ON THE BASIS OF
11	THEIR ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED AGE, COLOR, DISABILITY, ETHNICITY,
12	GENETIC INFORMATION, LIMITED PROFICIENCY IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE,
13	NATIONAL ORIGIN, RACE, RELIGION, REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH, SEX,
14	VETERAN STATUS, OR OTHER CLASSIFICATION PROTECTED UNDER THE
15	LAWS OF THIS STATE.
16	(b) "ALGORITHMIC DISCRIMINATION" DOES NOT INCLUDE:
17	(I) THE OFFER, LICENSE, OR USE OF AN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
18	SYSTEM BY A DEVELOPER OR DEPLOYER FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF:
19	(A) THE DEVELOPER'S OR DEPLOYER'S SELF-TESTING TO IDENTIFY,
20	MITIGATE, OR PREVENT DISCRIMINATION OR OTHERWISE ENSURE
21	COMPLIANCE WITH STATE AND FEDERAL LAW; OR
22	(B) EXPANDING AN APPLICANT, CUSTOMER, OR PARTICIPANT POOL

-4-SB24-205

1	TO INCREASE DIVERSITY OR REDRESS HISTORICAL DISCRIMINATION; OR
2	(II) AN ACT OR OMISSION BY OR ON BEHALF OF A PRIVATE CLUB OR
3	OTHER ESTABLISHMENT THAT IS NOT IN FACT OPEN TO THE PUBLIC, AS SET
4	FORTH IN TITLE II OF THE FEDERAL "CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964", 42
5	U.S.C. SEC. 2000a (e), AS AMENDED.
6	(2) "ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM" MEANS ANY
7	MACHINE-BASED SYSTEM THAT, FOR ANY EXPLICIT OR IMPLICIT OBJECTIVE,
8	INFERS FROM THE INPUTS THE SYSTEM RECEIVES HOW TO GENERATE
9	OUTPUTS, INCLUDING CONTENT, DECISIONS, PREDICTIONS, OR
10	RECOMMENDATIONS, THAT CAN INFLUENCE PHYSICAL OR VIRTUAL
11	ENVIRONMENTS.
12	(3) "CONSEQUENTIAL DECISION" MEANS A DECISION THAT HAS A
13	MATERIAL LEGAL, OR SIMILARLY SIGNIFICANT, EFFECT ON A CONSUMER'S
14	ACCESS TO, OR THE AVAILABILITY, COST, OR TERMS OF:
15	(a) A CRIMINAL JUSTICE REMEDY;
16	(b) EDUCATION ENROLLMENT OR OPPORTUNITY;
17	(c) EMPLOYMENT OR EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY;
18	(d) AN ESSENTIAL GOOD OR SERVICE;
19	(e) A FINANCIAL OR LENDING SERVICE;
20	(f) A GOVERNMENT SERVICE;
21	(g) A HEALTH-CARE SERVICE;
22	(h) Housing;
23	(i) Insurance; or
24	(j) A LEGAL SERVICE.
25	(4) "Consumer" means an individual who is a Colorado
26	RESIDENT.
27	(5) "DEDLOY" MEANS TO USE A GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL

-5- SB24-205

1	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM OR A HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM
2	(6) "DEPLOYER" MEANS A PERSON DOING BUSINESS IN THIS STATE
3	THAT DEPLOYS A GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM OR A
4	HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM.
5	(7) "DEVELOPER" MEANS A PERSON DOING BUSINESS IN THIS STATE
6	THAT DEVELOPS OR INTENTIONALLY AND SUBSTANTIALLY MODIFIES A
7	GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL, A GENERATIVE
8	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM, OR A HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL
9	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM.
10	(8) (a) "General purpose artificial intelligence model"
11	MEANS ANY FORM OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM THAT:
12	(I) DISPLAYS SIGNIFICANT GENERALITY;
13	(II) IS CAPABLE OF COMPETENTLY PERFORMING A WIDE RANGE OF
14	DISTINCT TASKS; AND
15	(III) CAN BE INTEGRATED INTO A VARIETY OF DOWNSTREAM
16	APPLICATIONS OR SYSTEMS.
17	(b) "GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL" DOES
18	NOT INCLUDE ANY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL THAT IS USED FOR
19	DEVELOPMENT, PROTOTYPING, OR RESEARCH ACTIVITIES BEFORE THE
20	MODEL IS RELEASED ON THE MARKET.
21	(9) "GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM" MEANS ANY
22	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM, INCLUDING A GENERAL PURPOSE
23	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL, THAT IS ABLE TO PRODUCE SYNTHETIC
24	DIGITAL CONTENT.
25	(10) (a) "High-risk artificial intelligence system" means
26	ANY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM THAT HAS BEEN SPECIFICALLY
27	DEVELOPED AND MARKETED, OR INTENTIONALLY AND SUBSTANTIALLY

-6- SB24-205

1	MODIFIED, TO MAKE, OR TO BE A SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR IN MAKING, A
2	CONSEQUENTIAL DECISION.
3	(b) "High-risk artificial intelligence system" does not
4	INCLUDE AN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM, AS DEFINED IN
5	SUBSECTION (2) OF THIS SECTION, IF THE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
6	SYSTEM IS INTENDED TO:
7	(I) PERFORM A NARROW PROCEDURAL TASK;
8	(II) IMPROVE THE RESULT OF A PREVIOUSLY COMPLETED HUMAN
9	ACTIVITY;
10	(III) DETECT DECISION-MAKING PATTERNS OR DEVIATIONS FROM
11	PRIOR DECISION-MAKING PATTERNS AND IS NOT INTENDED TO REPLACE OR
12	INFLUENCE A PREVIOUSLY COMPLETED HUMAN ASSESSMENT WITHOUT
13	SUFFICIENT HUMAN REVIEW; OR
14	(IV) PERFORM A PREPARATORY TASK TO AN ASSESSMENT THAT IS
15	RELEVANT TO A CONSEQUENTIAL DECISION.
16	(c) "HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM" DOES NOT
17	INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING TECHNOLOGIES, IF THE TECHNOLOGIES DO NOT
18	EXECUTE A DECISION, REPLACE HUMAN DECISION-MAKING, OR
19	SUBSTANTIALLY FACILITATE HUMAN DECISION-MAKING:
20	(I) WEB HOSTING;
21	(II) DOMAIN REGISTRATION;
22	(III) NETWORKING;
23	(IV) CACHING;
24	(V) WEBSITE LOADING;
25	(VI) DATA STORAGE;
26	(VII) FIREWALLS;
27	(VIII) Anti-virus;

-7- SB24-205

1	(IX) Anti-malware;
2	(X) SPAM AND ROBOCALL FILTERING;
3	(XI) SPELL-CHECKING;
4	(XII) CALCULATORS;
5	(XIII) DATABASES; OR
6	(XIV) SPREADSHEETS.
7	(11) "Intentional and substantial modification" or
8	"INTENTIONALLY AND SUBSTANTIALLY MODIFIES" MEANS A DELIBERATE
9	CHANGE MADE TO:
10	(a) A GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM, OTHER THAN
11	A CHANGE MADE TO A GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM AS
12	A RESULT OF LEARNING AFTER THE GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
13	SYSTEM HAS BEEN DEPLOYED, THAT:
14	(I) AFFECTS COMPLIANCE OF THE GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL
15	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM; OR
16	(II) CHANGES THE PURPOSE OF THE GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL
17	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM; OR
18	(b) A HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM THAT CREATES,
19	OR POTENTIALLY CREATES, ANY NEW RISK OF ALGORITHMIC
20	DISCRIMINATION.
21	(12)(a) "SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR" MEANS ANY CONTENT, DECISION,
22	PREDICTION, OR RECOMMENDATION GENERATED FROM AN ARTIFICIAL
23	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM THAT IS A KEY FACTOR IN A HUMAN'S
24	DECISION-MAKING.
25	(b) "Substantial factor" includes using an artificial
26	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM TO GENERATE A SCORE CONCERNING A CONSUMER
27	THAT A HIMAN DEVIEWED USES AS A DDIMARY EACTOR TO MAKE A

-8- SB24-205

1	SIGNIFICANT DECISION ABOUT THE CONSUMER.
2	(13) "SYNTHETIC DIGITAL CONTENT" MEANS DIGITAL CONTENT,
3	INCLUDING AUDIO, IMAGES, TEXT, OR VIDEOS, THAT IS PRODUCED BY A
4	GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM.
5	(14) "Trade secret" has the meaning set forth in section
6	7-74-102 (4).
7	6-1-1602. Developer duty to avoid algorithmic discrimination
8	- required documentation. (1) On and after July 1, 2025, A
9	DEVELOPER OF A HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM SHALL USE
10	REASONABLE CARE TO PROTECT CONSUMERS FROM ANY KNOWN OR
11	REASONABLY FORESEEABLE RISKS OF ALGORITHMIC DISCRIMINATION. IN
12	ANY ENFORCEMENT ACTION BROUGHT ON OR AFTER JULY $1,2025$, by the
13	ATTORNEY GENERAL OR A DISTRICT ATTORNEY PURSUANT TO SECTION
14	6-1-1609, THERE IS A REBUTTABLE PRESUMPTION THAT A DEVELOPER USED
15	REASONABLE CARE AS REQUIRED UNDER THIS SECTION IF THE DEVELOPER
16	COMPLIED WITH THIS SECTION.
17	(2) On and after July 1, 2025, and except as provided in
18	SUBSECTION (6) OF THIS SECTION, A DEVELOPER OF A HIGH-RISK
19	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM SHALL MAKE AVAILABLE TO THE
20	DEPLOYER OF THE SYSTEM:
21	(a) A GENERAL STATEMENT DESCRIBING THE INTENDED USES OF
22	THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM;
23	(b) DOCUMENTATION DISCLOSING:
24	(I) KNOWN OR REASONABLY FORESEEABLE LIMITATIONS OF THE
25	HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM, INCLUDING KNOWN OR
26	REASONABLY FORESEEABLE RISKS OF ALGORITHMIC DISCRIMINATION
27	ARISING FROM THE INTENDED USES OF THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL

-9- SB24-205

1	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM; AND
2	(II) THE PURPOSE OF THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
3	SYSTEM AND THE INTENDED BENEFITS AND USES OF THE HIGH-RISK
4	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM;
5	(c) DOCUMENTATION DESCRIBING:
6	(I) THE TYPE OF DATA USED TO TRAIN THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL
7	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM;
8	(II) HOW THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM WAS
9	EVALUATED FOR PERFORMANCE AND RELEVANT INFORMATION RELATED
10	TO EXPLAINABILITY BEFORE THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
11	SYSTEM WAS OFFERED, SOLD, LEASED, LICENSED, GIVEN, OR OTHERWISE
12	MADE AVAILABLE TO THE DEPLOYER;
13	(III) THE DATA GOVERNANCE MEASURES USED TO COVER THE
14	TRAINING DATASETS AND THE MEASURES USED TO EXAMINE THE
15	SUITABILITY OF DATA SOURCES, POSSIBLE BIASES, AND APPROPRIATE
16	MITIGATION;
17	(IV) THE INTENDED OUTPUTS OF THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL
18	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM; AND
19	(V) THE MEASURES THE DEVELOPER HAS TAKEN TO MITIGATE
20	KNOWN OR REASONABLY FORESEEABLE RISKS OF ALGORITHMIC
21	DISCRIMINATION THAT MAY ARISE FROM DEPLOYMENT OF THE HIGH-RISK
22	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM; AND
23	(d) Any additional documentation that is reasonably
24	NECESSARY TO ASSIST THE DEPLOYER IN UNDERSTANDING THE OUTPUTS
25	AND MONITOR THE PERFORMANCE OF THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL
26	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM FOR RISKS OF ALGORITHMIC DISCRIMINATION.
27	(3) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (6) OF THIS SECTION, A

-10- SB24-205

1	DEVELOPER THAT OFFERS, SELLS, LEASES, LICENSES, GIVES, OR OTHERWISE
2	MAKES AVAILABLE TO A DEPLOYER A HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
3	SYSTEM ON OR AFTER JULY 1, 2025, SHALL MAKE AVAILABLE TO THE
4	DEPLOYER, TO THE EXTENT FEASIBLE, THE DOCUMENTATION AND
5	INFORMATION, THROUGH ARTIFACTS SUCH AS MODEL CARDS, DATASET
6	CARDS, OR OTHER IMPACT ASSESSMENTS, NECESSARY FOR THE DEPLOYER,
7	OR FOR A THIRD PARTY CONTRACTED BY THE DEPLOYER, TO COMPLETE AN
8	IMPACT ASSESSMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 6-1-1603 (3).
9	(4) (a) On and after July 1, 2025, a developer shall make
10	AVAILABLE, IN A MANNER THAT IS CLEAR AND READILY AVAILABLE FOR
11	PUBLIC INSPECTION ON THE DEVELOPER'S WEBSITE OR IN A PUBLIC USE
12	CASE INVENTORY, A STATEMENT SUMMARIZING:
13	(I) THE TYPES OF HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS
14	THAT THE DEVELOPER HAS DEVELOPED OR INTENTIONALLY AND
15	SUBSTANTIALLY MODIFIED AND CURRENTLY MAKES AVAILABLE TO A
16	DEPLOYER; AND
17	(II) HOW THE DEVELOPER MANAGES KNOWN OR REASONABLY
18	FORESEEABLE RISKS OF ALGORITHMIC DISCRIMINATION THAT MAY ARISE
19	FROM THE DEVELOPMENT OR INTENTIONAL AND SUBSTANTIAL
20	MODIFICATION OF THE TYPES OF HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
21	SYSTEM DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION $(4)(a)(I)$ OF THIS SECTION.
22	(b) A DEVELOPER SHALL UPDATE THE STATEMENT DESCRIBED IN
23	SUBSECTION (4)(a) OF THIS SECTION:
24	(I) AS NECESSARY TO ENSURE THAT THE STATEMENT REMAINS
25	ACCURATE; AND
26	(II) NO LATER THAN NINETY DAYS AFTER THE DEVELOPER
27	INTENTIONALLY AND SUBSTANTIALLY MODIFIES ANY HIGH-RISK

-11- SB24-205

1	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (4)(a)(I) OF
2	THIS SECTION.
3	(5) On and after July 1, 2025, a developer of a high-risk
4	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM SHALL DISCLOSE TO THE ATTORNEY
5	GENERAL, IN A FORM AND MANNER PRESCRIBED BY THE ATTORNEY
6	GENERAL, AND TO ALL KNOWN DEPLOYERS OF THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL
7	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ANY KNOWN OR REASONABLY FORESEEABLE RISK
8	OF ALGORITHMIC DISCRIMINATION ARISING FROM THE INTENDED USES OF
9	THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM NOT LATER THAN
10	NINETY DAYS AFTER THE DATE ON WHICH:
11	(a) The developer discovers through the developer's
12	ONGOING TESTING AND ANALYSIS THAT THE DEVELOPER'S HIGH-RISK
13	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM HAS BEEN DEPLOYED AND HAS CAUSED,
14	OR IS REASONABLY LIKELY TO HAVE CAUSED, ALGORITHMIC
15	DISCRIMINATION; OR
16	(b) The developer receives from a deployer a credible
17	REPORT THAT THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM HAS BEEN
18	DEPLOYED AND HAS CAUSED, OR IS REASONABLY LIKELY TO HAVE CAUSED,
19	ALGORITHMIC DISCRIMINATION.
20	(6) Nothing in subsections (2) to (5) of this section requires
21	A DEVELOPER TO DISCLOSE A TRADE SECRET OR OTHER CONFIDENTIAL OR
22	PROPRIETARY INFORMATION.
23	(7) On and after July 1, 2025, the attorney general may
24	REQUIRE THAT A DEVELOPER DISCLOSE TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, IN A
25	FORM AND MANNER PRESCRIBED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, THE
26	STATEMENT OR DOCUMENTATION DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (2) OF THIS
27	SECTION IF THE STATEMENT OR DOCUMENTATION IS RELEVANT TO AN

-12- SB24-205

1	INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL. THE ATTORNEY
2	GENERAL MAY EVALUATE SUCH STATEMENT OR DOCUMENTATION TO
3	ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THIS PART 16, AND THE STATEMENT OR
4	DOCUMENTATION IS NOT SUBJECT TO DISCLOSURE UNDER THE "COLORADO
5	OPEN RECORDS ACT", PART 2 OF ARTICLE 72 OF TITLE 24. TO THE EXTENT
6	THAT ANY INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE STATEMENT OR
7	DOCUMENTATION INCLUDES INFORMATION SUBJECT TO ATTORNEY-CLIENT
8	PRIVILEGE OR WORK-PRODUCT PROTECTION, THE DISCLOSURE DOES NOT
9	CONSTITUTE A WAIVER OF THE PRIVILEGE OR PROTECTION.
10	6-1-1603. Deployer duty to avoid algorithmic discrimination
11	- risk management policy and program. (1) ON AND AFTER JULY 1,
12	2025, A DEPLOYER OF A HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM
13	SHALL USE REASONABLE CARE TO PROTECT CONSUMERS FROM ANY KNOWN
14	OR REASONABLY FORESEEABLE RISKS OF ALGORITHMIC DISCRIMINATION.
15	In any enforcement action brought on or after July 1, 2025, by
16	THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OR A DISTRICT ATTORNEY PURSUANT TO SECTION
17	6-1-1609, THERE IS A REBUTTABLE PRESUMPTION THAT A DEPLOYER OF A
18	HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM USED REASONABLE CARE AS
19	REQUIRED UNDER THIS SECTION IF THE DEPLOYER COMPLIED WITH THIS
20	SECTION.
21	(2) (a) On and after July 1, 2025, and except as provided in
22	SUBSECTION (7) OF THIS SECTION, A DEPLOYER SHALL IMPLEMENT A RISK
23	MANAGEMENT POLICY AND PROGRAM TO GOVERN THE DEPLOYER'S
24	DEPLOYMENT OF HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS. THE RISK
25	MANAGEMENT POLICY AND PROGRAM MUST SPECIFY AND INCORPORATE
26	THE PRINCIPLES, PROCESSES, AND PERSONNEL THAT THE DEPLOYER USES
27	TO IDENTIFY DOCUMENT AND MITIGATE KNOWN OR REASONABLY

-13- SB24-205

1	FORESEEABLE RISKS OF ALGORITHMIC DISCRIMINATION. THE RISK
2	MANAGEMENT POLICY AND PROGRAM MUST BE AN ITERATIVE PROCESS
3	PLANNED AND RUN THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE LIFE CYCLE OF A HIGH-RISK
4	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM, REQUIRING REGULAR, SYSTEMATIC
5	REVIEW AND UPDATES. A RISK MANAGEMENT POLICY AND PROGRAM
6	IMPLEMENTED AND MAINTAINED PURSUANT TO THIS SUBSECTION (2) MUST
7	BE REASONABLE CONSIDERING:
8	(I)(A) The guidance and standards set forth in the latest
9	VERSION OF THE "ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE RISK MANAGEMENT
10	FRAMEWORK" PUBLISHED BY THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS
11	AND TECHNOLOGY IN THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE OR
12	ANOTHER NATIONALLY OR INTERNATIONALLY RECOGNIZED RISK
13	MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK FOR ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS; OR
14	(B) ANY RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK FOR ARTIFICIAL
15	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, IN THE ATTORNEY
16	GENERAL'S DISCRETION, MAY DESIGNATE;
17	(II) THE SIZE AND COMPLEXITY OF THE DEPLOYER;
18	(III) THE NATURE AND SCOPE OF THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL
19	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEPLOYED BY THE DEPLOYER, INCLUDING THE
20	INTENDED USES OF THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS:
21	AND
22	(IV) THE SENSITIVITY AND VOLUME OF DATA PROCESSED IN
23	CONNECTION WITH THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS
24	DEPLOYED BY THE DEPLOYER.
25	(b) A RISK MANAGEMENT POLICY AND PROGRAM IMPLEMENTED
26	PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (2)(a) OF THIS SECTION MAY COVER MULTIPLE
2.7	HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEPLOYED BY THE

-14- SB24-205

1	DEPLOYER.
2	(3) (a) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTIONS (3)(d) AND (3)(e) OF
3	THIS SECTION:
4	(I) A DEPLOYER, OR A THIRD PARTY CONTRACTED BY THE
5	DEPLOYER, THAT DEPLOYS A HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM
6	ON OR AFTER JULY 1,2025, SHALL COMPLETE AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR
7	THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM; AND
8	(II) On and after July $1,2025$, a deployer, or a third party
9	CONTRACTED BY THE DEPLOYER, SHALL COMPLETE AN IMPACT
10	ASSESSMENT FOR A DEPLOYED HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
11	SYSTEM AT LEAST ANNUALLY AND WITHIN NINETY DAYS AFTER ANY
12	INTENTIONAL AND SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION TO THE HIGH-RISK
13	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM IS MADE AVAILABLE.
14	(b) An impact assessment completed pursuant to this
15	SUBSECTION (3) MUST INCLUDE, AT A MINIMUM:
16	(I) A STATEMENT BY THE DEPLOYER DISCLOSING THE PURPOSE,
17	INTENDED USE CASES, AND DEPLOYMENT CONTEXT OF, AND BENEFITS
18	AFFORDED BY, THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM;
19	(II) AN ANALYSIS OF WHETHER THE DEPLOYMENT OF THE
20	HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM POSES ANY KNOWN OR
21	REASONABLY FORESEEABLE RISKS OF ALGORITHMIC DISCRIMINATION AND,
22	IF SO, THE NATURE OF THE ALGORITHMIC DISCRIMINATION AND THE STEPS
23	THAT HAVE BEEN TAKEN TO ELIMINATE THE RISKS;
24	(III) A DESCRIPTION OF THE CATEGORIES OF DATA THE HIGH-RISK
25	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM PROCESSES AS INPUTS AND THE
26	OUTPUTS THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM PRODUCES;
27	(IV) IF THE DEPLOYER USED DATA TO CUSTOMIZE THE HIGH-RISK

-15- SB24-205

1	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM, AN OVERVIEW OF THE CATEGORIES OF
2	DATA THE DEPLOYER USED TO CUSTOMIZE THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL
3	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM;
4	(V) ANY METRICS USED TO EVALUATE THE PERFORMANCE AND
5	KNOWN LIMITATIONS OF THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
6	SYSTEM;
7	(VI) A DESCRIPTION OF ANY TRANSPARENCY MEASURES TAKEN
8	CONCERNING THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM,
9	INCLUDING ANY MEASURES TAKEN TO DISCLOSE TO A CONSUMER THAT THE
10	HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM IS IN USE WHEN THE
11	HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM IS IN USE; AND
12	(VII) A DESCRIPTION OF THE POST-DEPLOYMENT MONITORING AND
13	USER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED CONCERNING THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL
14	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM, INCLUDING ANY OVERSIGHT PROCESS ESTABLISHED
15	BY THE DEPLOYER TO ADDRESS ISSUES ARISING FROM THE DEPLOYMENT OF
16	THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM.
17	(c) IN ADDITION TO THE INFORMATION REQUIRED UNDER
18	SUBSECTION (3)(b) OF THIS SECTION, AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT COMPLETED
19	PURSUANT TO THIS SUBSECTION (3) FOLLOWING AN INTENTIONAL AND
20	SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION TO A HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
21	SYSTEM ON OR AFTER JULY 1, 2025, MUST INCLUDE A STATEMENT
22	DISCLOSING THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL
23	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM WAS USED IN A MANNER THAT WAS CONSISTENT
24	WITH, OR VARIED FROM, THE DEVELOPER'S INTENDED USES OF THE
25	HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM.
26	(d) A SINGLE IMPACT ASSESSMENT MAY ADDRESS A COMPARABLE
27	SET OF HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEPLOYED BY A

-16- SB24-205

2	(e) If a deployer, or a third party contracted by the
3	DEPLOYER, COMPLETES AN IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF
4	COMPLYING WITH ANOTHER APPLICABLE LAW OR REGULATION, THE
5	IMPACT ASSESSMENT SATISFIES THE REQUIREMENTS ESTABLISHED IN THIS
6	SUBSECTION (3) IF THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT IS REASONABLY SIMILAR IN
7	SCOPE AND EFFECT TO THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT THAT WOULD OTHERWISE
8	BE COMPLETED PURSUANT TO THIS SUBSECTION (3).

- (f) A DEPLOYER SHALL MAINTAIN THE MOST RECENTLY COMPLETED IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR A HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM AS REQUIRED UNDER THIS SUBSECTION (3), ALL RECORDS CONCERNING EACH IMPACT ASSESSMENT, AND ALL PRIOR IMPACT ASSESSMENTS, IF ANY, FOR AT LEAST THREE YEARS FOLLOWING THE FINAL DEPLOYMENT OF THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM.
- (g) On or before July 1, 2025, and at least annually thereafter, a deployer, or a third party contracted by the deployer, must review the deployment of each high-risk artificial intelligence system deployed by the deployer to ensure that the high-risk artificial intelligence system is not causing algorithmic discrimination.
- (4) (a) ON AND AFTER JULY 1, 2025, AND NO LATER THAN THE TIME THAT A DEPLOYER DEPLOYS A HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM TO MAKE, OR BE A SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR IN MAKING, A CONSEQUENTIAL DECISION CONCERNING A CONSUMER, THE DEPLOYER SHALL:
- (I) NOTIFY THE CONSUMER THAT THE DEPLOYER HAS DEPLOYED A HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM TO MAKE, OR BE A

-17- SB24-205

1	SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR IN MAKING, THE CONSEQUENTIAL DECISION;
2	(II) PROVIDE TO THE CONSUMER A STATEMENT DISCLOSING THE
3	PURPOSE OF THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM AND THE
4	NATURE OF THE CONSEQUENTIAL DECISION, THE CONTACT INFORMATION
5	FOR THE DEPLOYER, AND A DESCRIPTION, IN PLAIN LANGUAGE, OF THE
6	HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM, INCLUDING A DESCRIPTION
7	OF ANY HUMAN COMPONENTS OF THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
8	SYSTEM AND A DESCRIPTION OF HOW ANY AUTOMATED COMPONENTS OF
9	THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ARE USED TO INFORM
10	THE CONSEQUENTIAL DECISION; AND
11	(III) PROVIDE TO THE CONSUMER INFORMATION, IF APPLICABLE,
12	REGARDING THE CONSUMER'S RIGHT TO OPT OUT OF THE PROCESSING OF
13	PERSONAL DATA CONCERNING THE CONSUMER FOR PURPOSES OF
14	PROFILING IN FURTHERANCE OF DECISIONS THAT PRODUCE LEGAL OR
15	SIMILARLY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS CONCERNING THE CONSUMER UNDER
16	SECTION 6-1-1306 $(1)(a)(I)(C)$.
17	(b) A DEPLOYER SHALL PROVIDE TO A CONSUMER THE
18	INFORMATION REQUIRED UNDER SUBSECTION (4)(a) OF THIS SECTION IN A
19	MANNER THAT IS CLEAR AND READILY AVAILABLE.
20	(5) (a) On and after July 1, 2025, a deployer shall make
21	AVAILABLE, IN A MANNER THAT IS CLEAR AND READILY AVAILABLE FOR
22	PUBLIC INSPECTION, A STATEMENT SUMMARIZING:
23	(I) THE TYPES OF HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS
24	THAT ARE CURRENTLY DEPLOYED BY THE DEPLOYER; AND
25	(II) HOW THE DEPLOYER MANAGES KNOWN OR REASONABLY
26	FORESEEABLE RISKS OF ALGORITHMIC DISCRIMINATION THAT MAY ARISE
27	FROM THE DEPLOYMENT OF EACH HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

-18- SB24-205

SYSTEM DESCRIBED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (5)(a)(I) OF THIS SECTION.

- 2 (b) A DEPLOYER SHALL PERIODICALLY UPDATE THE STATEMENT
 3 DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (5)(a) OF THIS SECTION.
- (6) IF A DEPLOYER DEPLOYS A HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ON OR AFTER JULY 1, 2025, AND SUBSEQUENTLY DISCOVERS THAT THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM HAS CAUSED, OR IS REASONABLY LIKELY TO HAVE CAUSED, ALGORITHMIC DISCRIMINATION AGAINST A CONSUMER, THE DEPLOYER, WITHIN NINETY DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF THE DISCOVERY, SHALL SEND TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, IN A FORM AND MANNER PRESCRIBED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, A NOTICE DISCLOSING THE DISCOVERY.
 - (7) NOTHING IN SUBSECTIONS (2) TO (6) OF THIS SECTION REQUIRES
 A DEPLOYER TO DISCLOSE A TRADE SECRET OR OTHER CONFIDENTIAL OR
 PROPRIETARY INFORMATION.
 - (8) ON AND AFTER JULY 1, 2025, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL MAY REQUIRE THAT A DEPLOYER, OR A THIRD PARTY CONTRACTED BY THE DEPLOYER, DISCLOSE TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, IN A FORM AND MANNER PRESCRIBED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, THE RISK MANAGEMENT POLICY IMPLEMENTED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (2) OF THIS SECTION, IMPACT ASSESSMENT COMPLETED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (3) OF THIS SECTION, OR RECORDS MAINTAINED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (3)(f) OF THIS SECTION IF THE RISK MANAGEMENT POLICY, IMPACT ASSESSMENT, OR RECORDS ARE RELEVANT TO AN INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL MAY EVALUATE THE RISK MANAGEMENT POLICY, IMPACT ASSESSMENT, OR RECORDS TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THIS PART 16, AND THE RISK MANAGEMENT POLICY, IMPACT ASSESSMENT, AND RECORDS ARE NOT

-19- SB24-205

1	SUBJECT TO DISCLOSURE UNDER THE "COLORADO OPEN RECORDS ACT",
2	PART 2 OF ARTICLE 72 OF TITLE 24. TO THE EXTENT THAT ANY
3	INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE RISK MANAGEMENT POLICY, IMPACT
4	ASSESSMENT, OR RECORDS INCLUDE INFORMATION SUBJECT TO
5	ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE OR WORK-PRODUCT PROTECTION, THE
6	DISCLOSURE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A WAIVER OF THE PRIVILEGE OR
7	PROTECTION.
8	6-1-1604. General purpose artificial intelligence model -
9	developer documentation requirements - copyright policy -
10	exceptions - rules. (1) On and after January 1, 2026, a developer
11	OF A GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL SHALL:
12	(a) CREATE AND MAINTAIN TECHNICAL DOCUMENTATION FOR THE
13	GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL, WHICH
14	DOCUMENTATION MUST:
15	(I) INCLUDE:
16	(A) THE TRAINING AND TESTING PROCESSES FOR THE GENERAL
17	PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL; AND
18	(B) THE RESULTS OF AN EVALUATION OF THE GENERAL PURPOSE
19	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL;
20	(II) INCLUDE AT LEAST THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION, AS
21	APPROPRIATE, CONSIDERING THE SIZE AND RISK PROFILE OF THE GENERAL
22	PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL:
23	(A) THE TASKS THE GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
24	MODEL IS INTENDED TO PERFORM;
25	(B) THE TYPE AND NATURE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS
26	INTO WHICH THE GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL CAN
27	BE INTEGRATED;

-20- SB24-205

1	(C) ACCEPTABLE USE POLICIES FOR THE GENERAL PURPOSE
2	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL;
3	(D) THE DATE THE GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
4	MODEL IS RELEASED;
5	(E) THE METHODS BY WHICH THE GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL
6	INTELLIGENCE MODEL IS DISTRIBUTED;
7	(F) THE ARCHITECTURE OF AND NUMBER OF PARAMETERS FOR THE
8	GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL; AND
9	(G) THE MODALITY AND FORMAT OF INPUTS AND OUTPUTS FOR THE
10	GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL;
11	(b) CREATE, IMPLEMENT, MAINTAIN, AND MAKE AVAILABLE TO
12	DEPLOYERS THAT INTEND TO INTEGRATE THE GENERAL PURPOSE
13	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL INTO THE DEPLOYERS' ARTIFICIAL
14	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DOCUMENTATION AND INFORMATION THAT:
15	(I) ENABLES THE DEPLOYERS TO:
16	(A) Understand the capabilities and limitations of the
17	GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL; AND
18	(B) COMPLY WITH THE DEPLOYERS' OBLIGATIONS UNDER THIS
19	PART 16;
20	(II) DISCLOSES, AT A MINIMUM:
21	(A) THE TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE GENERAL PURPOSE
22	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL TO BE INTEGRATED INTO THE
23	DEPLOYERS' ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS;
24	(B) THE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS OF, AND TRAINING PROCESSES
25	FOR, THE GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL, INCLUDING
26	THE TRAINING METHODOLOGIES AND TECHNIQUES FOR THE GENERAL
2.7	PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL:

-21- SB24-205

1	(C) THE KEY DESIGN CHOICES FOR THE GENERAL PURPOSE
2	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL, INCLUDING THE RATIONALE AND
3	ASSUMPTIONS MADE;
4	(D) WHAT THE GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
5	MODEL IS DESIGNED TO OPTIMIZE FOR AND THE RELEVANCE OF THE
6	DIFFERENT PARAMETERS, AS APPLICABLE; AND
7	(E) A DESCRIPTION OF THE DATA THAT WAS USED FOR PURPOSES
8	OF TRAINING, TESTING, AND VALIDATION, AS APPLICABLE, INCLUDING THE
9	TYPE AND PROVENANCE OF SUCH DATA, CURATION METHODOLOGIES, THE
10	NUMBER OF DATA POINTS, THE SCOPE AND MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE
11	DATA, HOW THE DATA WAS OBTAINED AND SELECTED, AND ALL OTHER
12	MEASURES USED TO IDENTIFY UNSUITABLE DATA SOURCES AND METHODS
13	USED TO DETECT IDENTIFIABLE BIASES, AS APPLICABLE;
14	(c) REVIEW AND REVISE THE TECHNICAL DOCUMENTATION FOR THE
15	GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL CREATED PURSUANT
16	TO SUBSECTIONS (1)(a) AND (1)(b) OF THIS SECTION AT LEAST ANNUALLY
17	OR MORE FREQUENTLY AS NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN THE ACCURACY OF
18	THE TECHNICAL DOCUMENTATION;
19	(d) ESTABLISH, IMPLEMENT, AND MAINTAIN A POLICY TO COMPLY
20	WITH FEDERAL AND STATE COPYRIGHT LAWS; AND
21	(e) CREATE, MAINTAIN, AND MAKE PUBLICLY AVAILABLE, IN A
22	FORM AND MANNER PRESCRIBED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, A DETAILED
23	SUMMARY CONCERNING THE CONTENT USED TO TRAIN THE GENERAL
24	PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL.
25	(2) (a) Subsection (1) of this section does not apply to a
26	DEVELOPER THAT DEVELOPS OR INTENTIONALLY AND SUBSTANTIALLY
27	MODIFIES A GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL ON OR

-22- SB24-205

1	AFTER JANUARY 1, 2026, IF
2	(I) THE DEVELOPER

- (I) THE DEVELOPER RELEASES THE GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL UNDER A FREE AND OPEN-SOURCE LICENSE; AND
- 4 (II) Unless the general purpose artificial intelligence
 5 Model is deployed as a high-risk artificial intelligence system,
 6 The parameters of the general purpose artificial intelligence
 7 Model, including the weights and information concerning the
 8 Model architecture and model usage for the general purpose
 9 Artificial intelligence model, are made publicly available.
 - (b) A DEVELOPER THAT ACTS UNDER THE EXEMPTION ESTABLISHED IN SUBSECTION (2)(a) OF THIS SECTION BEARS THE BURDEN OF DEMONSTRATING THAT THE ACTION QUALIFIES FOR SUCH EXEMPTION.
 - (3) NOTHING IN SUBSECTION (1) OF THIS SECTION REQUIRES A DEVELOPER TO DISCLOSE A TRADE SECRET OR OTHER CONFIDENTIAL OR PROPRIETARY INFORMATION.
 - (4) ON AND AFTER JANUARY 1, 2026, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL MAY REQUIRE THAT A DEVELOPER DISCLOSE TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, IN A FORM AND MANNER PRESCRIBED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, ANY DOCUMENTATION MAINTAINED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION IF THE DOCUMENTATION IS RELEVANT TO AN INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL MAY EVALUATE THE DOCUMENTATION TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THIS SECTION AND ANY RULES ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 6-1-1610, AND THE DOCUMENTATION IS NOT SUBJECT TO DISCLOSURE UNDER THE "COLORADO OPEN RECORDS ACT", PART 2 OF ARTICLE 72 OF TITLE 24. TO THE EXTENT THAT THE DOCUMENTATION INCLUDES INFORMATION SUBJECT TO ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE OR WORK-PRODUCT PROTECTION, THE

-23- SB24-205

1	DISCLOSURE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A WAIVER OF THE PRIVILEGE OR
2	PROTECTION.
3	6-1-1605. Disclosure of modification of an artificial
4	intelligence system to consumer. (1) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN
5	SUBSECTION (2) OF THIS SECTION, A PERSON DOING BUSINESS IN THIS
6	STATE, INCLUDING A DEPLOYER, THAT DEPLOYS, OFFERS, SELLS, LEASES,
7	LICENSES, GIVES, OR OTHERWISE MAKES AVAILABLE AN ARTIFICIAL
8	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM THAT IS INTENDED TO INTERACT WITH CONSUMERS
9	SHALL ENSURE THAT THE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM DISCLOSES TO
10	EACH CONSUMER WHO INTERACTS WITH THE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
11	SYSTEM THAT THE CONSUMER IS INTERACTING WITH AN ARTIFICIAL
12	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM.
13	(2) DISCLOSURE IS NOT REQUIRED UNDER SUBSECTION (1) OF THIS
14	SECTION UNDER CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH IT WOULD BE OBVIOUS TO A
15	REASONABLE PERSON THAT THE PERSON IS INTERACTING WITH AN
16	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM OR THE DEPLOYER DID NOT DIRECTLY
17	MAKE THE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM AVAILABLE TO CONSUMERS.
18	6-1-1606. Developer duty to mark synthetic digital content -
19	exemptions. (1) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (2) OF THIS
20	SECTION, THE DEVELOPER OF AN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM,
21	INCLUDING A GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODEL, THAT
22	GENERATES OR MANIPULATES SYNTHETIC DIGITAL CONTENT SHALL:
23	(a) Ensure that the outputs of the artificial intelligence
24	SYSTEM ARE:
25	(I) MARKED IN A MACHINE-READABLE FORMAT;
26	(II) DETECTABLE AS SYNTHETIC DIGITAL CONTENT;
27	(III) MARKED AND DETECTABLE NOT LATER THAN THE TIME A

-24- SB24-205

1	CONSUMER FIRST INTERACTS WITH, OR IS EXPOSED TO, THE OUTPUTS; AND
2	(IV) MARKED IN A MANNER THAT IS CLEAR TO CONSUMERS AND
3	COMPLIES WITH ANY APPLICABLE ACCESSIBILITY REQUIREMENTS; AND
4	(b) As far as technically feasible and as reflected in any
5	RELEVANT TECHNICAL STANDARDS, ENSURE THAT THE DEVELOPER'S
6	TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS ARE EFFECTIVE, INTEROPERABLE, ROBUST, AND
7	RELIABLE, TAKING INTO ACCOUNT:
8	(I) THE SPECIFICITIES AND LIMITATIONS OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF
9	SYNTHETIC DIGITAL CONTENT;
10	(II) THE IMPLEMENTATION COSTS; AND
11	(III) THE GENERALLY ACKNOWLEDGED STATE OF THE ART.
12	(2) Subsection (1) of this section does not apply to text
13	AND DOES NOT APPLY TO THE EXTENT THAT AN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
14	SYSTEM:
15	(a) PERFORMS AN ASSISTIVE FUNCTION FOR STANDARD EDITING;
16	(b) Does not substantially alter the input data provided
17	BY THE DEPLOYER OR THE SEMANTICS OF THE INPUT DATA; OR
18	(c) IS USED TO DETECT, PREVENT, INVESTIGATE, OR PROSECUTE A
19	CRIME AS AUTHORIZED BY LAW.
20	6-1-1607. Deployer duty to disclose synthetic digital content
21	to consumer - exemptions. (1) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTIONS (2)
22	to (4) of this section, the deployer of an artificial intelligence
23	SYSTEM, INCLUDING A GENERAL PURPOSE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
24	MODEL, THAT GENERATES OR MANIPULATES ANY SYNTHETIC DIGITAL
25	CONTENT SHALL DISCLOSE TO A CONSUMER THAT THE SYNTHETIC DIGITAL
26	CONTENT HAS BEEN ARTIFICIALLY GENERATED OR MANIPULATED:
27	(a) NOT LATER THAN THE FIRST TIME THE CONSUMER INTERACTS

-25- SB24-205

1	WITH, OR IS EXPOSED TO, THE SYNTHETIC DIGITAL CONTENT; AND
2	(b) IN A MANNER THAT:
3	(I) IS CLEAR TO, AND DISTINGUISHABLE BY, CONSUMERS; AND
4	(II) COMPLIES WITH ANY APPLICABLE ACCESSIBILITY
5	REQUIREMENTS.
6	(2) IF THE SYNTHETIC DIGITAL CONTENT DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION
7	(1) OF THIS SECTION IS IN AN AUDIO, IMAGE, OR VIDEO FORMAT, AND THE
8	SYNTHETIC DIGITAL CONTENT FORMS PART OF AN EVIDENTLY ARTISTIC,
9	CREATIVE, SATIRICAL, OR FICTIONAL ANALOGOUS WORK OR PROGRAM, THE
10	DISCLOSURE REQUIRED UNDER SUBSECTION (1) OF THIS SECTION IS LIMITED
11	TO A DISCLOSURE THAT DOES NOT HAMPER THE DISPLAY OR ENJOYMENT
12	OF SUCH WORK OR PROGRAM.
13	(3) IF THE SYNTHETIC DIGITAL CONTENT DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION
14	(1) OF THIS SECTION IS IN THE FORM OF TEXT PUBLISHED TO INFORM THE
15	PUBLIC ON ANY MATTER OF PUBLIC INTEREST, DISCLOSURE IS NOT
16	REQUIRED UNDER SUBSECTION (1) OF THIS SECTION IF:
17	(a) THE SYNTHETIC DIGITAL CONTENT HAS UNDERGONE A PROCESS
18	OF HUMAN REVIEW OR EDITORIAL CONTROL; AND
19	(b) A PERSON HOLDS EDITORIAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE
20	PUBLICATION OF THE SYNTHETIC DIGITAL CONTENT.
21	(4) THE DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS ESTABLISHED IN SUBSECTION
22	(1) OF THIS SECTION DO NOT APPLY TO THE EXTENT THAT AN ARTIFICIAL
23	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION (1) OF THIS SECTION IS
24	USED TO DETECT, PREVENT, INVESTIGATE, OR PROSECUTE ANY CRIME AS
25	AUTHORIZED BY LAW.
26	6-1-1608. Compliance with other legal obligations.
27	(1) NOTHING IN THIS PART 16 RESTRICTS A DEVELOPER'S OR DEPLOYER'S

-26- SB24-205

1	ABILITY TO:
2	(a) COMPLY WITH FEDERAL, STATE, OR MUNICIPAL LAWS,
3	ORDINANCES, OR REGULATIONS;
4	(b) COMPLY WITH A CIVIL, CRIMINAL, OR REGULATORY INQUIRY,
5	INVESTIGATION, SUBPOENA, OR SUMMONS BY A FEDERAL, A STATE, A
6	MUNICIPAL, OR OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AUTHORITY;
7	(c) COOPERATE WITH A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY CONCERNING
8	CONDUCT OR ACTIVITY THAT THE DEVELOPER, DEPLOYER, OR SOCIAL
9	MEDIA PLATFORM OPERATOR REASONABLY AND IN GOOD FAITH BELIEVES
10	MAY VIOLATE FEDERAL, STATE, OR MUNICIPAL LAWS, ORDINANCES, OR
11	REGULATIONS;
12	(d) INVESTIGATE, ESTABLISH, EXERCISE, PREPARE FOR, OR DEFEND
13	LEGAL CLAIMS;
14	(e) TAKE IMMEDIATE STEPS TO PROTECT AN INTEREST THAT IS
15	ESSENTIAL FOR THE LIFE OR PHYSICAL SAFETY OF A CONSUMER OR
16	ANOTHER INDIVIDUAL;
17	(f) PREVENT, DETECT, PROTECT AGAINST, OR RESPOND TO
18	SECURITY INCIDENTS, IDENTITY THEFT, FRAUD, HARASSMENT, MALICIOUS
19	OR DECEPTIVE ACTIVITIES, OR ILLEGAL ACTIVITY; INVESTIGATE, REPORT,
20	OR PROSECUTE THOSE PERSONS RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY SUCH ACTION; OR
21	PRESERVE THE INTEGRITY OR SECURITY OF SYSTEMS;
22	(g) Engage in public or peer-reviewed scientific or
23	STATISTICAL RESEARCH IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST THAT ADHERES TO ALL
24	OTHER APPLICABLE ETHICS AND PRIVACY LAWS AND IS APPROVED,
25	MONITORED, AND GOVERNED BY AN INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD THAT
26	DETERMINES, OR BY SIMILAR INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT ENTITIES THAT
27	DETERMINE:

-27- SB24-205

1	(1) THAT THE EXPECTED BENEFITS OF THE RESEARCH OUTWEIGH
2	THE RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RESEARCH; AND
3	(II) WHETHER THE DEVELOPER OR DEPLOYER HAS IMPLEMENTED
4	REASONABLE SAFEGUARDS TO MITIGATE THE RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THE
5	RESEARCH;
6	(h) CONDUCT RESEARCH, TESTING, AND DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES
7	REGARDING AN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM OR MODEL, OTHER THAN
8	TESTING CONDUCTED UNDER REAL-WORLD CONDITIONS, BEFORE THE
9	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM OR MODEL IS PLACED ON THE MARKET
10	OR PUT INTO SERVICE; OR
11	(i) ASSIST ANOTHER DEVELOPER OR DEPLOYER WITH ANY OF THE
12	OBLIGATIONS IMPOSED UNDER THIS PART 16.
13	(2) THE OBLIGATIONS IMPOSED ON DEVELOPERS OR DEPLOYERS
14	UNDER THIS PART 16 DO NOT RESTRICT A DEVELOPER'S OR DEPLOYER'S
15	ABILITY TO:
16	(a) Effectuate a product recall; or
17	(b) Identify and repair technical errors that impair
18	EXISTING OR INTENDED FUNCTIONALITY.
19	(3) THE OBLIGATIONS IMPOSED ON DEVELOPERS OR DEPLOYERS
20	Under this part $16\mathrm{do}$ not apply where compliance with this part
21	16 by the developer or deployer would violate an evidentiary
22	PRIVILEGE UNDER THE LAWS OF THIS STATE.
23	(4) Nothing in this part 16 imposes any obligation on a
24	DEVELOPER OR DEPLOYER THAT ADVERSELY AFFECTS THE RIGHTS OR
25	FREEDOMS OF A PERSON, INCLUDING THE RIGHTS OF A PERSON TO FREEDOM
26	OF SPEECH OR FREEDOM OF THE PRESS THAT ARE GUARANTEED IN:
27	(a) The first amendment to the United States constitution;

-28- SB24-205

1	OR
2	(b) Section 10 of article II of the state constitution.
3	(5) Nothing in this part 16 applies to a developer or
4	DEPLOYER TO THE EXTENT THE DEVELOPER OR DEPLOYER DEVELOPS,
5	DEPLOYS, OR INTENTIONALLY AND SUBSTANTIALLY MODIFIES AN
6	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM:
7	(a) THAT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE FEDERAL FOOD AND DRUG
8	ADMINISTRATION; AND
9	(b) IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE FEDERAL LAWS,
10	REGULATIONS, RULES, AND PROCEDURES CONCERNING SUCH ARTIFICIAL
11	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM.
12	(6) If a developer or deployer engages in an action
13	PURSUANT TO AN EXEMPTION SET FORTH IN THIS SECTION, THE DEVELOPER
14	OR DEPLOYER BEARS THE BURDEN OF DEMONSTRATING THAT THE ACTION
15	QUALIFIES FOR THE EXEMPTION.
16	6-1-1609. Enforcement by attorney general and district
17	attorneys. (1) The attorney general and district attorneys have
18	EXCLUSIVE AUTHORITY TO ENFORCE SECTIONS 6-1-1601 TO 6-1-1608.
19	(2) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (6) OF THIS SECTION,
20	DURING THE PERIOD FROM JULY 1, 2025, THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026, THE
21	ATTORNEY GENERAL OR A DISTRICT ATTORNEY, PRIOR TO INITIATING ANY
22	ACTION FOR A VIOLATION OF SECTIONS 6-1-1601 TO 6-1-1608, SHALL ISSUE
23	A NOTICE OF VIOLATION TO THE DEVELOPER OR DEPLOYER ALLEGED TO
24	HAVE COMMITTED THE VIOLATION IF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OR DISTRICT
25	ATTORNEY DETERMINES THAT THE OPPORTUNITY TO CURE IS WARRANTED.
26	IF THE DEVELOPER OR DEPLOYER FAILS TO CURE THE VIOLATION WITHIN
2.7	SIXTY DAYS AFTER RECEIPT OF THE NOTICE OF VIOLATION. THE ATTORNEY

-29- SB24-205

1	GENERAL OR DISTRICT ATTORNEY MAY BRING AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION
2	PURSUANT TO THIS PART 16.
3	(3) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (6) OF THIS SECTION, ON
4	AND AFTER JUNE 1, 2026, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OR DISTRICT
5	ATTORNEY, IN DETERMINING WHETHER TO GRANT A DEVELOPER OR
6	DEPLOYER THE OPPORTUNITY TO CURE AN ALLEGED VIOLATION DESCRIBED
7	IN SUBSECTION (2) OF THIS SECTION, MAY CONSIDER:
8	(a) THE NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS;
9	(b) THE SIZE AND COMPLEXITY OF THE DEVELOPER OR DEPLOYER;
10	(c) THE NATURE AND EXTENT OF THE DEVELOPER'S OR DEPLOYER'S
11	BUSINESS;
12	(d) The substantial likelihood of injury to the public
13	ARISING FROM THE VIOLATION;
14	(e) THE SAFETY OF PERSONS OR PROPERTY; AND
15	(f) WHETHER THE ALLEGED VIOLATION WAS LIKELY CAUSED BY
16	HUMAN OR TECHNICAL ERROR.
17	(4) Sections $6-1-1601$ to $6-1-1608$ do not provide the basis
18	FOR, AND ARE NOT SUBJECT TO, A PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION FOR
19	VIOLATIONS OF SECTIONS $6-1-1601$ to $6-1-1608$ or any other law.
20	(5) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (7) OF THIS SECTION, A
21	$\label{thm:constraints} VIOLATION of \text{the requirements established in sections} 6\text{-}1\text{-}1601 \text{to}$
22	6-1-1608 CONSTITUTES AN UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICE PURSUANT TO
23	SECTION 6-1-105 (1)(eeee).
24	(6) IN ANY ACTION COMMENCED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OR
25	DISTRICT ATTORNEY TO ENFORCE SECTIONS 6-1-1601 TO 6-1-1608, IT IS AN
26	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE THAT:
2.7	(a) THE DEVELOPER OR DEPLOYER OF THE GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL.

-30- SB24-205

1	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM OR THE HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
2	SYSTEM IMPLEMENTED AND MAINTAINS A PROGRAM THAT IS IN
3	COMPLIANCE WITH:
4	(I) THE LATEST VERSION OF THE "ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE RISK
5	MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK" PUBLISHED BY THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
6	STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY IN THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
7	COMMERCE;
8	(II) ANOTHER NATIONALLY OR INTERNATIONALLY RECOGNIZED
9	RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK FOR ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS;
10	OR
11	(III) ANY RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK FOR ARTIFICIAL
12	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, IN THE ATTORNEY
13	GENERAL'S DISCRETION, MAY DESIGNATE, AND IF DESIGNATED, SHALL
14	PUBLICLY DISSEMINATE; AND
15	(b) THE DEVELOPER OR DEPLOYER:
16	(I) ENCOURAGES THE DEPLOYERS OR USERS OF THE HIGH-RISK
17	ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM OR GENERATIVE ARTIFICIAL
18	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM, AS APPLICABLE, TO PROVIDE FEEDBACK TO THE
19	DEVELOPER OR DEPLOYER;
20	(II) DISCOVERS A VIOLATION OF SECTIONS 6-1-1601 TO 6-1-1608
21	AS A RESULT OF THE FEEDBACK DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION $(6)(b)(I)$ OF
22	THIS SECTION OR THROUGH ADVERSARIAL TESTING OR RED TEAMING, AS
23	THOSE TERMS ARE DEFINED OR USED BY THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
24	STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY; AND
25	(III) NO LATER THAN SIXTY DAYS AFTER DISCOVERING A
26	VIOLATION AS SET FORTH IN SUBSECTION (6)(b)(II) OF THIS SECTION,
2.7	CURES THE VIOLATION AND PROVIDES TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OR

-31- SB24-205

1	DISTRICT ATTORNEY, IN A FORM AND MANNER PRESCRIBED BY THE
2	ATTORNEY GENERAL, NOTICE THAT THE VIOLATION HAS BEEN CURED AND
3	EVIDENCE THAT ANY HARM CAUSED BY THE VIOLATION HAS BEEN
4	MITIGATED.
5	(7) A DEVELOPER OR DEPLOYER BEARS THE BURDEN OF
6	DEMONSTRATING TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OR DISTRICT ATTORNEY
7	THAT THE REQUIREMENTS ESTABLISHED IN SUBSECTION (6) OF THIS
8	SECTION HAVE BEEN SATISFIED.
9	6-1-1610. Rules. The attorney general may promulgate
10	RULES AS NECESSARY FOR THE PURPOSE OF IMPLEMENTING AND
11	ENFORCING THIS PART 16.
12	SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 6-1-105, add
13	(1)(eeee) as follows:
14	6-1-105. Unfair or deceptive trade practices. (1) A person
15	engages in a deceptive trade practice when, in the course of the person's
16	business, vocation, or occupation, the person:
17	(eeee) VIOLATES PART 16 OF THIS ARTICLE 1.
18	SECTION 3. Safety clause. The general assembly finds,
19	determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate
20	preservation of the public peace, health, or safety or for appropriations for
21	the support and maintenance of the departments of the state and state

22

institutions.

-32- SB24-205